

**ERNEST ORLANDO LAWRENCE
BERKELEY NATIONAL LABORATORY**

**Guidelines for the Monitoring,
Evaluation, Reporting,
Verification, and Certification of
Forestry Projects for
Climate Change Mitigation**

Edward Vine, Jayant Sathaye, and Willy Makundi

**Environmental Energy
Technologies Division**

March 1999

Disclaimer

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor The Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or The Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof, or The Regents of the University of California.

Ernest Orlando Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory is an equal opportunity employer.

**GUIDELINES FOR
THE MONITORING, EVALUATION, REPORTING, VERIFICATION, AND
CERTIFICATION OF FORESTRY PROJECTS FOR CLIMATE CHANGE
MITIGATION**

Edward Vine, Jayant Sathaye, and Willy Makundi

**Energy Analysis Department
Environmental Energy Technologies Division
Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory
Berkeley, CA 94720 USA**

March 1999

**Prepared for the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Climate Policy and Program Division
Office of Economics and Environment
Office of Policy, Planning and Evaluation**

Maurice LeFranc, Project Manager

**This work was supported by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency through the
U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC03-76SF00098**

PREFACE

To combat the growing threat of global climate change from increasing concentrations of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere, the Kyoto Protocol includes project-based mitigation efforts to achieve large-scale and cost-effective emissions reductions. The Protocol requires real and measurable reductions in emissions that are additional to any that would occur in the absence of a certified project activity. Monitoring, evaluation, reporting, verification and certification of these projects are activities that the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sees as important.

EPA has initiated a three-phase process in developing usable guidelines on monitoring, evaluation, reporting, verification and certification (MERVC). In the first phase, an overview of MERVC issues was prepared (E. Vine and J. Sathaye. 1997. *The Monitoring, Evaluation, Reporting, and Verification of Climate Change Mitigation Projects: Discussion of Issues and Methodologies and Review of Existing Protocols and Guidelines*. LBNL-40316. Berkeley, CA: Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory). The guidelines presented in this report constitute the second phase of work. The third phase will be a procedural handbook that describes the information and requirements for specific measurement and evaluation methods that can be employed for measuring carbon sequestration.

The intent of these reports is to provide initial methodologies that will support the measurement of greenhouse gas removals from project-level activities. These methodologies will also assist project developers in preparing and implementing monitoring, evaluation, and verification plans that can lead to better estimates of carbon stock as well as improve the projects themselves, making them more attractive to investors, the private sector, and local communities.

These guidelines have been reviewed by project developers (working on projects in Russia, Eastern Europe, Africa and Latin America) as well as experts in the monitoring and evaluation of forestry projects. The practitioners reviewed the report for accuracy and assessed whether data were available for completing the forms presented at the end of this report. Based on their feedback, we believe these guidelines and related forms can be used by project developers, evaluators, and verifiers.

These guidelines can also be used by anyone involved with the design and development of joint implementation and Clean Development Mechanism projects, such as: forest management companies, development banks, finance firms, consultants, government agency employees and contractors, city and municipal managers, researchers, and nonprofit organizations. National and international entities can also use these guidelines and forms as a model for developing official MERVC-type guidelines.

Maurice LeFranc
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

This page is intentionally left blank.

ABSTRACT

Because of concerns with the growing threat of global climate change from increasing concentrations of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere, the United States and other countries are implementing, by themselves or in cooperation with one or more other nations, climate change mitigation projects. These projects will reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions or sequester carbon, and may also result in non-GHG benefits and costs (i.e., other environmental and socioeconomic benefits and costs).

Monitoring, evaluating, reporting, verifying, and certifying (MERVC) guidelines are needed for these projects in order to accurately determine their impact on GHG and other attributes. Implementation of standardized guidelines is also intended to: (1) increase the reliability of data for estimating GHG benefits; (2) provide real-time data so that programs and plans can be revised mid-course; (3) introduce consistency and transparency across project types and reporters; (4) enhance the credibility of the projects with stakeholders; (5) reduce costs by providing an international, industry consensus approach and methodologies; and (6) reduce financing costs, allowing project bundling and pooled project financing.

These guidelines cover the following items: (1) a description of three methods (modeling, remote sensing, and field/site measurement) for evaluating changes in the carbon stock; (2) an explanation of key issues influencing the establishment of a credible baseline (free riders) and the calculation of changes to the carbon stock (project leakage, positive project spillover, and market transformation); (3) a process for verifying and certifying project impacts, based on an interpretation of the Kyoto Protocol; (4) a discussion of the importance and value of including environmental and socioeconomic impacts in the evaluation of forestry projects; (5) reporting forms for estimation of changes in carbon stock (Appendix A), for monitoring and evaluation of these changes (Appendix B), and for verification (Appendix C); and (6) Quality Assurance Guidelines that require evaluators and verifiers to indicate specifically how key methodological issues are addressed.

The next phase of this work will be to develop a procedural handbook providing information on how one can complete the monitoring, evaluation and verification forms contained in this report. Next, we plan to test the usefulness of these guidelines in the real world.

This page is intentionally left blank.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

List of Tables and Figures	vii
List of Boxes	viii
Acknowledgments	ix
1. Introduction.....	1
1.1. Overview of Project Tasks	2
1.2. Conceptual Framework.....	3
1.3. Purpose of MERVC Guidelines	5
1.4. Target Audience	7
1.5. Scope.....	8
1.6. Relationship to Other Programs/Documents.....	9
1.6.1. World Bank's monitoring and evaluation guidelines.....	9
1.6.2. Winrock's carbon monitoring guidelines.....	10
1.6.3. SGS Forestry's Carbon Offset Verification Service.....	10
1.6.4. USIJI's Project Proposal Guidelines	10
1.6.5. DOE's Voluntary Reporting of Greenhouse Gases	11
1.6.6. Face Foundation.....	11
1.6.7. Forest Stewardship Council's Principles and Criteria for Forest Management.....	11
1.6.8. University of Edinburgh's provisional guidelines and standards.....	11
2. Carbon Pools and Forestry Projects.....	13
2.1. Carbon Pools.....	13
2.2. Forestry Projects	14
2.3. Biomass Energy Plantations.....	16
2.4. Unique Features of Carbon Pools and Forestry Projects	16
3. Estimation and Registration of Projects	18
3.1. Estimating Gross Changes in Carbon Stock	19
3.1.1. Monitoring domain.....	19
3.1.2. Project leakage	20
3.1.3. Positive project spillover.....	21
3.1.4. Market transformation.....	22
3.2. Estimating a Baseline	23
3.2.1. Free riders.....	25
3.2.2. Performance benchmarks	25

4. Monitoring and Evaluation of Changes in Carbon Stock.....	27
4.1. Methodological Issues	28
4.1.1. Measurement uncertainty	29
4.1.2. Frequency and duration of monitoring and evaluation	30
4.2. Measurement of Gross Changes in Carbon Stock	32
4.2.1. Establishing the monitoring domain	33
4.2.2. Modeling	33
4.2.3. Remote sensing.....	36
4.2.4. Field/site measurements.....	38
4.2.5. Sampling.....	43
4.2.6. Application of forestry monitoring methods.....	44
4.2.7. Quality assurance guidelines	47
4.2.8. Project leakage and positive project spillover	50
4.2.9. Market transformation.....	50
4.3. Re-estimating the Baseline	51
4.3.1. Free riders.....	51
4.3.2. Comparison plots.....	52
5. Reporting of GHG Reductions	53
5.1. Multiple Reporting.....	54
6. Verification of GHG Reductions	55
7. Certification of GHG Reductions.....	57
8. Environmental and Socioeconomic Impacts.....	59
8.1. Environmental Impacts.....	61
8.2. Socioeconomic Impacts.....	63
9. MERVC Costs.....	66
10. Concluding Remarks	68
11. References.....	69
 Appendix A: Estimation Reporting Form	 A-1
Appendix B: Monitoring and Evaluation Reporting Form.....	B-1
Appendix C: Verification Reporting Form	C-1

LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES

Table 1. Types of Forestry Projects	15
Table 2. Advantages and Disadvantages of Forestry Monitoring Techniques	46
Table 3. Forestry Monitoring Methods by Forestry Project Type.....	47
Table 4. Quality Assurance Issues for Data Collection and Analysis Methods.....	49
Table 5. Potential Environmental Impacts.....	62
Table 6. Potential Socioeconomic Impacts.....	65
Figure 1. Project Tasks.....	2
Figure 2. Example of Carbon Storage Over Time	4
Figure 3. Forest System Carbon Cycle.....	13
Figure 4. Estimation Overview	18
Figure 5. Evaluation Overview	28

LIST OF BOXES

Box 1. Definitions.....	6
Box 2. Modeling Example.....	35
Box 3. Remote Sensing Example	37
Box 4. Field/Site Measurement Example.....	39
Box 5. Items to Monitor under the Biodiversity Convention.....	60
Box 6. Socioeconomic Impacts Example.....	64

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Maurice N. LeFranc, Jr. of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Climate Policy and Program Division, Office of Economics and Environment, Office of Policy, Planning and Evaluation for their assistance. We also appreciate the comments by reviewers of an earlier draft of this report: Neil Bird, Paige Brown, Sandra Brown, Margo Burnham, Johannes Heister, Robert Hrubes, Axel Michaelowa, Pedro Moura-Costa, Neil Samson, Bill Stanley, Marc Stuart, Richard Tipper, and Ted Vinson. This work was supported by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency through the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC03-76SF00098.

This page is intentionally left blank.